

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/762,331	01/23/200)4	Doo-Young Ryu	1594.1315	4947
21171	7590 10	/04/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I	IALSEY LLP			LU, JI	PING
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3749	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/762,331	RYU, DOO-YOUNG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jiping Lu	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3, 8, 11-16, 19-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-7,9,10,17 and 18 is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is a specific to be a specific to the correction.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/762,331

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8, 11-16, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,983,520) in view of Dinh (U. S. Pat. 6,742,284).

Kim et al show a drum washing machine with an air duct 18 and blower 20. A clothesdrying unit has a heat recovery system 22, 23. Heat from temperature humid air exhausted out of the water tub 4 and combined with the recovered heat with low temperature dry air 23 flowing from an area around a condensing nozzle 24. However, Kim does not show a heat pipe recovery. Dinh shows a heat pipe heat recovery system 12 same as the applicant's. The wet or humid waste heat 20 is recovered and combined with the low temperature dry air 18. The resultant dry air 18 is directed to the machine. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the washing machine of Kim et al with a heat pipe as taught by Dinh in order to recover waste heat and save energy.

3. Claims 1-3, 8, 11-16, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,983,520) in view of Maisotsenko et al (U. S. Pat. 6,497,107).

Kim et al show a drum washing machine with an air duct 18 and blower 20. A clothesdrying unit has a heat recovery system 22, 23. Heat from temperature humid air exhausted out of Art Unit: 3749

the water tub 4 and combined with the recovered heat with low temperature dry air 23 flowing from an area around a condensing nozzle 24. However, Kim does not show a heat pipe recovery. Maisotsenko et al show a heat pipe heat recovery system 69 same as the applicant's. The wet or humid waste heat 4 is recovered and combined with the low temperature dry air 4. The resultant dry air 67 is directed to the machine. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the washing machine of Kim et al with a heat pipe as taught by Maisotsenko et al. in order to recover waste heat and save energy.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-7 and 9-10, 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,331

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tiping Lu

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749

Page 4

J. L.